

UK CAT CONSORTIUM

ANNUAL REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2018

Company Registration Number: 05620264

Charity Registered Number: 1133667

Company address: The Dean's Office, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Queen's
Medical Centre, Nottingham NG7 2UH

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION FROM THE CHAIR.....	4
TRUSTEES' REPORT 2017/18.....	5
STRUCTURE, GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT	5
INDUCTION OF TRUSTEES	5
MEETINGS	5
Test Delivery Group	6
Test Development Group.....	6
Research Panel.....	6
UKCAT OFFICE	6
PEARSON VUE	7
RISK MANAGEMENT.....	7
OBJECTIVES	7
ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE	8
Research.....	8
2017 Testing.....	9
Activities in 2017 included a website redesign, improvements to practice materials and better communications to candidates and stakeholders.	9
2017 TEST OVERVIEW	9
Candidate Statistics.....	9
Test and item analysis.....	12
Test Reliability	12
Item Performance	12
FUTURE PLANS	13
Key Aims.....	13
Theme 1: Governance.....	13
Theme 2: Research Development.....	13
Theme 3: Improving and Developing the Test.....	14
Theme 4: Delivering the Test and the Candidate Experience.....	14
FINANCIAL REVIEW	15
2017/18 Outturn.....	15
UKCAT Financial Controls Document.....	15

Reserves Policy.....	15
Members' Liability	15
Trustees' Responsibility Statement	15
Disclosure of Information to Auditors	16
Auditors.....	16
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE UKCAT CONSORTIUM	17
OPINION	17
BASIS FOR OPINION	17
CONCLUSIONS RELATING TO GOING CONCERN	17
OTHER INFORMATION	17
OPINION ON OTHER MATTERS PRESCRIBED BY THE COMPANIES ACT 2006	18
MATTERS ON WHICH WE ARE REQUIRED TO REPORT BY EXCEPTION	18
RESPONSIBILITIES OF TRUSTEES	18
AUDITORS' RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	18
USE OF OUR REPORT.....	19
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES.....	20
BALANCE SHEET	21
STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS	22
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2018	23
CHARITY TRUSTEES	27
COMPANY SECRETARY	27
PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS.....	27
CONSORTIUM MEMBERS 2017/18	27
Table 1 Subtest and Total Scale Score Summary Statistics: Total Group	10
Table 2 Subtest and Total Scale Score Summary Statistics by Gender: Total Group.....	10
Table 3 Cognitive Test Total Scale Score Statistics by Ethnic Group.....	10
Table 4 Total Scale Score summary statistics by NS-SEC Class (UK candidates only)	11
Table 5 Cognitive Test Total Scale Score and SJT Scale Score summary statistics by age group and highest qualification.....	11

INTRODUCTION FROM THE CHAIR

In 2017/18 we welcomed Anglia Ruskin University, the University of Sunderland and Edge Hill University to the Consortium, bringing the membership to 29. The expansion of candidate numbers and the creation of new medical schools creates some real opportunities for universities in widening participation, which is at the heart of UKCAT's mission. We look forward to working with our new colleagues to support their admission processes to this end.

At the same time we have continued to pursue our objective of making our test available internationally. In 2018 we offered a separate testing window to Humanitas University in Milan and welcomed a number of other international universities as associate members.

In 2017/18 we have devoted a significant amount of energy to developing a partnership with UMAT (Undergraduate Medicine and Health Sciences Admissions Test) – a consortium of institutions in Australia and New Zealand. It gives us considerable pleasure that these complex discussions have been brought to fruition, and that the UKCAT will be taken in 2019 by an additional 14,000 candidates applying to the UMAT Consortium universities. This is a significant achievement, representing a considerable extension of our reach, and we look forward to developing this partnership in future years.

In December we said farewell to Professor John McLachlan, a longstanding member of the Board and a valued supporter of UKCAT. In January we welcomed three new members elected by the Consortium. I would like to express my personal appreciation to my fellow Board members for their continued support and involvement; and also to the members of the Consortium whose active participation in so many aspects of the work of UKCAT helps to make involvement with this exceptional organisation so rewarding. We are all also very much indebted to our small professional team in the UKCAT office for their continuing commitment and responsiveness to changing demands.

I hope you will take time to read our Annual Report which gives an overview of current activity and outcomes and includes some analysis of test performance in the 2018 testing round.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "N.P. Siesage". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending from the bottom of the 'g'.

Nigel Siesage

TRUSTEES' REPORT 2017/18

The Trustees are pleased to present their Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 March 2018. UKCAT is registered with the Charity Commission under registration number 1133667. Details of the Charity's Trustees, senior staff, bankers and professional advisors can be found towards the end of this document.

The Trustees are responsible for ensuring that, for each financial year, financial statements are prepared which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Charity at the end of that year and of the incoming resources and resources expended for that year.

STRUCTURE, GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

UKCAT is a company limited by guarantee governed by its Articles of Association, which were revised and adopted by members in December 2009. The members of the Charity are those Universities who, having joined the UKCAT Consortium, use the test in admissions to medicine and dentistry.

The Charity Trustees comprise:

- seven persons elected by the members for a term of up to three years;
- the chair of the Medical Schools Council;
- the Medical Schools Chief Executive;
- a person nominated by the Dental Schools' Council for a term not exceeding three years.

In addition, the Board may from time to time appoint up to four additional persons. Such Trustees shall hold office for a term of up to three years and shall be eligible for re-appointment.

When appointing Trustees the Consortium attempts to represent the geographical spread and diversity of Members. No more than two representatives or other members of staff from any Member shall be Trustees at the same time.

INDUCTION OF TRUSTEES

The Trustees are the Directors of the Company and are largely elected from the representatives of the members. They therefore tend to be familiar with Charity structures, objectives and operations. On appointment Trustees and representatives are provided with relevant information regarding the Charity together with recent minutes of relevant meetings and the annual report. Directors are provided with information from the Charity Commission's website regarding their role as Trustee.

MEETINGS

The Board meets approximately every four months. The Board sets the strategic direction for the Charity. Operational matters are delegated to the Board's sub-committees and to the staff of the organisation. The Board is responsible for:

- determining annual objectives and monitoring performance against them;
- ensuring effective organisational planning to achieve the objectives;
- monitoring resource allocation and ensuring adequate resources are available for the delivery, development of the test and research agenda;
- promoting the organisation to the outside world and to identified stakeholders;

- liaising and communicating with Consortium members to ensure their needs regarding test delivery and aspirations regarding test development are met.

There are three groups to which the Board delegates areas of work:

Test Delivery Group

The group is responsible for overseeing the logistics of delivering the test and distribution of results and recommending policy to the Board, as appropriate, on all delivery matters including:

- Setting the testing timetable on an annual basis;
- Overseeing the process of delivering the test (including the process of registration);
- Ensuring that there is sufficient capacity for candidates wishing to take the test;
- Overseeing the delivery of results to consortium medical and dental schools;
- Reviewing test delivery on an annual basis and identifying areas for improvement;
- Working with Pearson VUE to address any incidents which occur in relation to test delivery;
- Overseeing the implementation of the UKCAT Communication Policy with regard to communication with candidates, schools, consortium members and external stakeholders.

Test Development Group

The Test Development Group works with Pearson VUE and other experts in the field to develop each of the UKCAT sub-tests to enable them to be fit for purpose for use in admissions by medical and dental schools. To achieve this, the group:

- Receives a detailed annual report of statistics relating to each round of testing including data regarding item performance, 'fairness', performance of different subgroups of candidates
- Considers recommendations for changes to the test based on annual statistics or developments in computer based testing nationally and internationally
- In conjunction with the Research Panel, identifies and takes forward relevant research related to the development of the test.

Research Panel

The Panel supports the Research Lead in creating and delivering the UKCAT Research Strategy with a particular focus on:

- establishing and overseeing the governance framework for all UKCAT related data collection and research activity;
- maintaining the UKCAT database(s);
- commissioning and selecting short term studies to achieve UKCAT's objectives (such as widening participation and non-cognitive attributes);
- planning longitudinal cohort studies to establish the predictive value of the test;
- liaising with other bodies (such as Medical Schools Council, General Medical Council) on opportunities to create a linked postgraduate follow-up mechanism.

UKCAT OFFICE

The Chief Operating Officer (COO), the UKCAT Administrator and the UKCAT Marketing Officer are responsible for the day to day running of the test and supporting operations. They are employed by

the University of Nottingham and support the work of UKCAT through a service level agreement that is reviewed on an annual basis.

The COO's main duties include the following:

- Acting as the main contact with Pearson VUE which delivers the test on behalf of UKCAT – resolving issues directly unless the issue was significant enough to warrant escalation to the Chair or other board member.
- As Company Secretary, leading on appointment of members and Trustees, arranging induction, ensuring decisions made by the Board and Consortium are in line with the Charity articles
- Monitoring budgets and reporting on these to the Board
- Ensuring systems are in place to ensure good standards of financial management
- Leads on any liaison with solicitors, auditors and the company bank.
- Responsible for ensuring that alongside the UKCAT Administrator, the work of the Board and its sub-groups is supported and ensures that decisions are acted upon in a timely fashion.

PEARSON VUE

The test is delivered on UKCAT's behalf by Pearson Driving Assessments Limited (Pearson VUE). UKCAT entered into a contract with Pearson VUE regarding the development and delivery of the test in 2006 for a period of five years. Pearson VUE has been successful in bidding for further tenders to undertake this work in 2011 and 2016. The new contract with Pearson VUE commenced on 1 January 2017.

RISK MANAGEMENT

The Board reviews risks to UKCAT formally on an annual basis. Risks are regularly assessed on an informal basis by the Board and its subcommittees with a particular focus placed upon smooth test delivery and reputation management.

The following risks have been identified by the Board:

- Consortium members withdrawing from UKCAT which could impact on UKCAT'S reputation, candidate numbers and ongoing research.
- Major failure in delivery resulting in reputational impact.
- Uncertainty around university student funding impacting on candidate numbers.
- Fluctuations in candidate numbers impacting on contractual, financial and delivery issues.
- Legal challenge from an individual.
- Unfavourable evidence regarding validity or impact of UKCAT.

OBJECTIVES

The objects for which the Charity is established are to promote and provide for the advancement of education in the United Kingdom and in particular to establish and operate tests to aid selection for admission to medical and dental degrees.

UKCAT is committed to advancing greater fairness in selection to medicine and dentistry and to the widening participation in medical and dental training of under-represented social groups. Through an ongoing programme of research UKCAT is seeking to identify the characteristics in applicants

which will make them good dentists and doctors and thus to improve the quality of those who enter the professions with the ultimate aim of improving patient care.

ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE

The following sections summarise UKCAT activity during the 2017 test cycle (2017/18 financial year).

Research

Key activities of the Research Panel during this period are outlined below:

UKCAT and Coaching Effects

During 2017 testing, candidates were invited to take part in a survey at the end of testing asking them about how they went about preparing to take the test. Data are now being analysed at the University of Birmingham to see whether any further evidence regarding coaching and the UKCAT can be obtained. The study ought to provide additional information to advise candidates on preparation in the future.

SJT Evaluation

A team at Queen Mary, University of London is moving towards the final stages of a study investigating potential correlations between the UKCAT SJT and a range of assessment outcomes in year one and two assessments.

Publications

The following articles, supported by UKCAT were published during this period:

- What is the effect of secondary (high) schooling on subsequent medical school performance? A national, UK-based, cohort study *BMJ Open* January 2018; 8:5 Lazaro M Mwandigha, Paul A Tiffin, Lewis W Paton, Adetayo S Kasim and Jan R Böhnke
- The ability of ‘non-cognitive’ traits to predict undergraduate performance in medical schools: a national linkage study *BMC Medical Education* 2018 18:93. Gabrielle M. Finn, Lazaro Mwandigha, Lewis W. Paton and Paul A. Tiffin
- The relationship between UKCAT scores and Finals exam performance for widening access and traditional entry students Srikathirkamanathan K, Curtis SA and McManus BN, University of Southampton (published on-line)
- The Relationship Between School Type and Academic Performance at Medical School: a National Multi-cohort Study *BMJ Open* August 2017; 7:8, B Kumwenda, J Cleland, K Walker, A Lee, R Greatrix
- The Predictive Validity of a Text-Based Situational Judgment Test in Undergraduate Medical and Dental School Admissions *Academic Medicine* (2017), Patterson, F., Cousans, F. et al.
- Report to the UKCAT board: Enhancing the scoring of the situation judgement test component of the UKCAT; reanalysis of the validity pilot study data Paul Tiffin & Lewis Paton, University of York, October 2016 (Summary report produced March 2017) (published on-line)
- Exploring the validity of the 2013 UKCAT SJT- prediction of undergraduate performance in the first year of medical school: Summary Version of Report Paul A. Tiffin & Lewis W. Paton, Health Sciences, University of York, March 2017 (published on-line)

2017 Testing

The following issues considered in detail during the Annual Review held in December 2017.

End of Testing & Capacity

Test slot capacity had appeared to be an issue from mid-September. The bulk release of slots did not help as large numbers of candidates rescheduling during this period have a significant impact on capacity. It was agreed to undertake a piece of work to look further at the extent of the issue to identify potential technical solutions. It was reported that around 21% of all “no show” appointments occurred in the final 5 days of testing. It was agreed to undertake measures in 2018 to try to reduce the number of “no show” appointments.

UKCAT Humanitas

In 2017, a separate testing window had been created for Humanitas University (Milan) which used the test in selection for their international candidates.

Marketing and Communications

Activities in 2017 included a significant website redesign, improvements and additions to practice materials and better communications with candidates and stakeholders.

Special Educational Needs

Two new versions of the test were introduced in 2017 to provide alternatives for candidates with requirements over and above the standard SEN 25% additional time. The UKCATSEN50 allowed candidates 50% additional time in each subtest – taken by 14 candidates. The UKCATSA allowed candidates 5 minute rest breaks between sections – taken by 15 candidates. These additional versions of the test significantly reduced the number of candidates whose accommodations could not be met by UKCAT.

2017 TEST OVERVIEW

The UKCAT is an aptitude exam, designed to measure innate cognitive ability and personality traits. The exam consists of three cognitive subtests (Verbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning, Abstract Reasoning) and a test of Situational Judgement. Items include those developed from operational items used in the previous administrations and from new items trialled in previous test rounds. In addition, candidates sat a pilot cognitive section, Decision Making.

Each exam was composed of 232 items (211 operational and 21 pre-test). The exam was administered in a 120-minute time period including instruction screens. Each subtest was timed separately. Results were provided to candidates at the end of their test and later to the schools to which the candidates had applied.

There were three groups of candidates with time accommodation in 2017. Candidates with special educational needs (SEN) were allotted 150 minutes (UKCATSEN) or 180 minutes (UKCAT50) based on UKCAT’s pre-approval, and candidates with special accommodation (UKCATSA) were allotted 120 minutes for the entire exam with flexible breaks.

Candidate Statistics

The UKCAT was administered in 2017 beginning on 3 July 2017 and ending on 4 October 2017. A total of 24,841 exams were administered – a 6% increase in candidate numbers from 2016. A brief

summary of testing statistics is provided below. More detailed statistics can be found in the Executive Summary of the 2017 Technical Report on the UKCAT website (www.ukcat.ac.uk).

Candidates' scale scores were reported for each cognitive subtest and based on all the scored items for each section. Valid scale scores ranged from 300 to 900, with a mean set to 600 in the reference year (2006 for VR, QR and AR and 2016 for DM). Universities received the subtest scaled scores for each candidate, plus a total score that is a simple sum of the four subtest scores with a valid range of 1200 to 3600.

Although candidate results for SJTs were reported in 4 bands, raw and scale scores were used in the psychometric analysis of the test.

Table 1 Subtest and Total Scale Score Summary Statistics: Total Group

Test	Total N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Verbal Reasoning	24,841	569.77	79.02	300	900
Decision Making	24,841	647.01	55.92	300	890
Quantitative Reasoning	24,841	694.56	98.03	300	900
Abstract Reasoning	24,841	628.84	85.81	300	900
Total Cognitive Scale Score	24,841	2540.19	250.32	1220	3460
SJT	24,841	609.01	69.05	300	776

The distributions are generally symmetric around their means and reasonably well spread out. The performance patterns for different subgroups (ethnic, gender, age and NS-SEC) are shown below.

Table 2 Subtest and Total Scale Score Summary Statistics by Gender: Total Group

Test	Gender	Total N	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Verbal Reasoning	Female	15,378	564.68	77.95	300	900
	Male	9,463	578.06	80.05	300	900
Decision Making	Female	15,378	644.67	55.80	300	890
	Male	9,463	650.83	55.91	300	880
Quantitative Reasoning	Female	15,378	681.42	96.23	300	900
	Male	9,463	715.91	97.18	300	900
Abstract Reasoning	Female	15,378	626.27	84.45	300	900
	Male	9,463	633.02	87.82	300	900
Total Cognitive Scale Score	Female	15,378	2517.03	247.08	1280	3460
	Male	9,463	2577.82	250.98	1220	3430
SJT	Female	15,378	614.24	67.61	300	772
	Male	9,463	600.52	70.49	300	776

Females represented 62% of the candidate population. Males outperformed females in all sections of the test although effect sizes are small.

Table 3 Cognitive Test Total Scale Score Statistics by Ethnic Group

Ethnic Group	Total N	Total %	Cognitive Test Mean	Cognitive Test SD	SJT Mean	SJT SD
UK-White	9003	36	2624.79	221.36	632.97	55.59
Non UK	5138	21	2472.89	266.71	571.10	79.70

UK – Asian	6536	26	2514.51	238.09	605.87	63.97
UK – Black	1822	7	2401.32	233.75	602.36	67.98
UK – Mixed Race	1013	4	2582.01	238.78	623.52	60.30
UK – Chinese	362	1	2654.70	246.82	623.74	58.56
UK – other	967	4	2458.71	249.67	600.49	70.72

Ethnic group performance trends paralleled those of previous years, with minor differences. Within the cognitive tests for Verbal Reasoning and Decision Making, the highest performing group was White UK. For Quantitative Reasoning and Abstract Reasoning the highest performing group was UK-Chinese. For the SJT, UK-white had the highest mean score and non-UK the lowest.

Table 4 Total Scale Score summary statistics by NS-SEC Class (UK candidates only)

SEC	Total N	Total %	Cognitive Test Mean	Cognitive Test SD	SJT Mean	SJT SD
1	11845	60	2594.92	237.93	624.43	59.73
2	621	3	2543.82	237.91	625.94	56.30
3	1279	6	2507.54	232.10	609.68	66.57
4	767	4	2507.77	227.27	610.26	62.56
5	1308	7	2470.08	225.88	607.33	64.85
N/A	3883	20	2502.50	247.34	609.56	66.24

For NS-SEC classifications, category 1 was consistently associated with the highest average scores. The lowest average scale scores occurred for category 5.

In previous years there had been no clear trend within the SJT regarding the means for the differing occupational groups. In 2017, candidates performed similarly for NS-SEC Classes 1 and 2, and Classes 3, 4 and 5, although the difference is less marked than that observed for the cognitive sections. This resulted in a slightly higher proportion of NS-SEC 1 and 2 candidates in SJT Bands 1 and 2.

In tables 5 and 6 age is broken into five groups. Scores across all age groups were examined controlling for candidates' highest qualifications.

Table 5 Cognitive Test Total Scale Score and SJT Scale Score summary statistics by age group and highest qualification

Age	Highest Qualification – HE						Highest Qualification – School Leaver					
	Total		Cognitive Test		SJT		Total		Cognitive Test		SJT	
	N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
<16	1	0					25	0	2436.40	251.28	564.08	74.16
16-19	372	2	2441.26	267.48	574.50	79.55	18000	73	2557.68	243.64	606.46	67.77
20-24	3973	16	2542.11	235.08	627.33	60.86	709	3	2428.24	286.96	592.54	80.09
25-34	1125	5	2477.17	270.89	627.32	70.04	150	1	2303.20	272.89	591.02	95.63
>34	168	1	2295.36	313.85	596.90	88.03	28	0	2251.79	220.59	598.43	80.25

Scores for candidates with school leaver qualifications generally showed negative correlations with age. Recent graduates score similarly to 'standard' school leavers. However it would appear that the negative correlation above is ameliorated somewhat in the graduate candidate population – older graduates performing better than older school leavers.

On the whole older candidates score better in the Situational Judgement Test; the highest performing subgroups being graduates aged 20-24.

Providing this level of data helps those selecting students make informed decisions about how they use the test. In the next section we describe the steps taken to ensure that test is fair and reliable.

Test and item analysis

Each year test results and item performance are analysed using a variety of methods in order to report on test reliability, internal construct validity and individual item performance.

Test Reliability

Variation in score reliability and SEM across the four subtests can be attributed to test length, amount of information in item types and scoring methods applied, and range of discrimination and difficulty among items. Score reliabilities and SEMs are in line with what is observed in similar tests. Improvements to both these indices are likely to occur as robustness of items, scoring models, and the strength of the item bank continue to improve.

Table 6 Scale Score Reliability and Standard Error of Measurement for Cognitive Total Scale Score

Reliability		SEM	
Range	Mean	Range	Mean
0.89-0.90	0.89	81.50-81.93	81.71

For the SJT, raw score reliabilities indicate a good level of reliability for an operational test building upon operational and trial of items from previous years.

Table 7 Raw Score Reliability and Standard Error of Measurement for SJT

Reliability		SEM	
Range	Mean	Range	Mean
0.82 - 0.85	0.83	8.51-9.02	8.78

Item Performance

Differential Item Functioning (DIF) refers to the potential for items to behave differently for different groups. DIF is an undesirable characteristic because it means that an item is measuring both the construct it was designed to measure and some additional characteristic or characteristics of performance that depend on classification or membership in a group (usually a gender or ethnic group classification). The Mantel-Haenszel (MH) procedure is used to identify DIF. For the UKCAT, MH DIF items are classified into one of three categories, A, B, or C. Category A contains items with negligible DIF, Category B contains items with slight to moderate DIF, and Category C contains items with moderate to large DIF. Items flagged in Category C are typically subjected to further scrutiny while Category B items may be reviewed.

DIF analyses were conducted for the pre-test and operational items when sample sizes were large enough. The UKCAT DIF comparison groups are based on gender, age, ethnicity and SEC.

For operational items in the cognitive test, there were 12 occurrences of Category C DIF across all cognitive subtests and comparisons. Of these occurrences, 1 occurred in the male/female comparison; 3 occurred in the age<20/>35 comparison; 6 in the white/black comparison; two in the white/Chinese comparison. For the pre-test items, there was one occurrence of Category C DIF in the male/female comparison group.

Within the SJT there were no occurrences of Category C DIF in operational items and 3 occurrences in pre-test items (one each in the Male/Female, Age <20 / >35, and White/Mixed comparisons).

Items with Category C DIF have content and wording examined for the potential bias. These items will either be revised or retired based on the review in the item writing workshops.

These analyses, which are performed annually, reassure UKCAT that the test is fair and reliable.

FUTURE PLANS

Key Aims

- Increase research output in order that UKCAT can create a test which better identifies the traits required in medical and dental students, provides an evidence base for widening participation in medical and dental admissions and impacts positively on UKCAT's reputation.
- Become more active in the widening participation agenda in the UK, using our research record, database and services to candidates to position us within this debate.
- Increase flexibility within test delivery in order that we can market the test internationally.

These aims will be achieved by focusing activity on four themes.

Theme 1: Governance

UKCAT will have a reputation as a forward thinking, dynamic organisation at the centre of developments in admissions to medicine and dentistry in the UK.

Key objectives within this theme include:

- Discussion of alternative business models in order to respond to requests to offer the test to other HEIs/Markets.
- Engagement with non-UKCAT medical and dental schools regarding the benefits of joining the Consortium.
- Creation of a more detailed UKCAT Communication Strategy.
- Strengthening links with the General Medical Council, General Dental Council, Medical Schools Council, Dental Schools Council, UK Foundation Programme Office and other bodies.
- Engagement with other professions regarding the benefits of using the test (or version thereof).

Theme 2: Research Development

UKCAT will position itself as a UK leader in undertaking and supporting research regarding admissions into medicine and dentistry, prioritising research outputs leading to improvement in the test and its use.

Key objectives within this theme include:

- Building on established collaborations with HEIs and other stakeholders to identify research opportunities around widening access.
- Using UKCAT data to support/ deliver research into widening access.
- Consideration of how best to ensure effective dissemination of research.
- Increasing research outputs which inform the development of the test, its better usage and the evidence base regarding admissions to UK medical and dental schools.
- Continuing to develop the UKCAT database incorporating the maximum amount of progression data and other relevant data sources.

Theme 3: Improving and Developing the Test

The UKCAT test will be improved, based on research and other evidence. Opportunities to further expand use of the test (or aspects of the test) both nationally and internationally will be identified.

Key objectives within this theme include:

- Using validity studies to develop the test and inform its use
- Build on work to date to undertake a complete review of test content.
- Identify research required for ongoing improvements to test content and quality.
- Understand the measurement model underpinning each subtest and use this to develop test content/structure

Theme 4: Delivering the Test and the Candidate Experience

The candidate experience from initial communication to sitting the test will be the best possible.

Key objectives within this theme include:

- Continuing to build on established collaborations with HEIs and other stakeholders, using these links to communicate with widening access candidates.
- Conducting focus groups to improve to candidate experience.
- Improving and speeding up results delivery.
- Using our data to identify a set of fixed reports to be circulated to schools annually.

The Board and its sub-groups are charged with achieving the objectives outlined above. The Board monitors performance against these objectives at its regular meetings through reports from the Chairs of these groups. These objectives inform the work of the UKCAT office.

FINANCIAL REVIEW

2017/18 Outturn

After a few years where UKCAT has returned a deficit, UKCAT reports a small surplus in 2017/18. This has been generated in part due to increased candidate numbers and a number of new Consortium members. At the same time some long standing research commitments have come to an end, reducing expenditure significantly in those areas.

UKCAT continues to invest part of its reserves for the longer term in order to attract a better return on these funds. UKCAT funds are placed with the Seven Investment Management (7IM) in the 7IM Sustainable Balance Strategy.

UKCAT Financial Controls Document

The Board has approved a financial controls document which covers the governance of financial transactions within the organisation. The document is reviewed annually.

Reserves Policy

UKCAT needs financial reserves to:

- meet contractual liabilities should the organisation cease to exist. This includes redundancy pay, amounts due to creditors and commitments under leases.
- meet unexpected costs like break down of essential office equipment, staff cover in relation to illness, maternity leave and parental leave.
- meet any legal costs defending the charity's interest.
- replace equipment when required.
- meet the costs of one-off developments to the test or its delivery.
- meet the organisation's fixed costs in the event of a significant fall in candidate numbers
- undertake and provide infrastructural support for a programme of research which may span several years with the costs of research varying significantly between those years.

Expenditure in 2017/18 totalled £2m. Current reserves stand at £0.5m (25% of annual expenditure). UKCAT aims to have reserves in the region of 20 – 25% of annual expenditure.

The Board of Trustees reviews the level of reserves at each Board meeting. The current level of reserves will be considered particularly carefully before any agreed increase in the candidate fee.

Members' Liability

The Members of the charity guarantee to contribute an amount not exceeding £1 to the assets of the charity in the event of winding up.

Trustees' Responsibility Statement

The Trustees (who are also directors of UKCAT Consortium for the purposes of company law) are responsible for preparing the Trustees' report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

Company law requires the Trustees to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under company law the Trustees must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the charitable company and of the incoming resources and application of resources, including the income and expenditure, of the charitable company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the Trustees are required to:

- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- observe the methods and principles in the Charities Statement of Recommended Practice;
- make judgments and accounting estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- state whether applicable UK Accounting Standards have been followed, subject to any materials departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements;
- prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the charitable company will continue in operation.

The Trustees are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the charitable company's transactions and disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the charitable company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the charitable company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

Disclosure of Information to Auditors

Each of the persons who are Trustees at the time when this Trustees' report is approved has confirmed that:

- so far as that Trustee is aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the charitable company's auditors are unaware, and
- the Trustee has taken all the steps that ought to have been taken as a Trustee in order to be aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the charitable company's auditors are aware of that information.

Auditors

The auditors, Lakin Rose Limited, have indicated their willingness to continue in office. The Designated Trustees will propose a motion re appointing the auditors at a meeting of the Trustees.

This report was approved by the Trustees on 23rd November 2018 and signed on their behalf by

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'N.P. Siesage', with a horizontal line drawn underneath the name.

Mr Nigel Siesage

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE UKCAT CONSORTIUM

OPINION

We have audited the financial statements of UK CAT Consortium (the 'charity') for the year ended 31 March 2018 set out on pages 20 to 26. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards, including Financial Reporting Standard 102 'The Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland' (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the state of the charitable company's affairs as at 31 March 2018 and of its incoming resources and application of resources, including its income and expenditure for the year then ended;
- have been properly prepared in accordance with United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006.

BASIS FOR OPINION

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditors' responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of our report. We are independent of the charitable company in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in the United Kingdom, including the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

CONCLUSIONS RELATING TO GOING CONCERN

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the ISAs (UK) require us to report to you where:

- the Trustees' use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is not appropriate; or
- the Trustees have not disclosed in the financial statements any identified material uncertainties that may cast significant doubt about the charitable company's ability to continue to adopt the going concern basis of accounting for a period of at least twelve months from the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

OTHER INFORMATION

The Trustees are responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the annual report, other than the financial statements and our auditors' report thereon. Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be

materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

OPINION ON OTHER MATTERS PRESCRIBED BY THE COMPANIES ACT 2006

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit:

- the information given in the Trustees' Report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.
- the Trustees' Report has been prepared in accordance with applicable legal requirements.

MATTERS ON WHICH WE ARE REQUIRED TO REPORT BY EXCEPTION

In the light of our knowledge and understanding of the charitable company and its environment obtained in the course of the audit, we have not identified material misstatements in the Trustees' Report.

We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters in relation to which the Companies Act 2006 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:

- adequate accounting records have not been kept, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
- certain disclosures of Trustees' remuneration specified by law are not made; or
- we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit; or
- the Trustees were not entitled to prepare the financial statements in accordance with the small companies regime and take advantage of the small companies' exemptions in preparing the Trustees' Report and from the requirement to prepare a Strategic Report.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF TRUSTEES

As explained more fully in the trustees' responsibilities statement, the Trustees (who are also the directors of the charity for the purposes of company law) are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Trustees determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, the Trustees are responsible for assessing the charitable company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Trustees either intend to liquidate the charitable company or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

AUDITORS' RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditors' report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material

misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditors' report.

USE OF OUR REPORT

This report is made solely to the charitable company's members, as a body, in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the charitable company's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditors' report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the charitable company and its members, as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Christopher Dougherty (Senior statutory auditor)

for and on behalf of

Lakin Rose Limited
Chartered Accountants
Statutory Auditors
Pioneer House
Vision Park
Histon
Cambridge CB24 9NL

Date: 20 December 2017

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES

(Incorporating Income and Expenditure Account)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2018

	Unrestricted funds 2018 £	Total funds 2017 £
Note		
INCOME FROM		
Charitable activities:		
Testing fees - clinical aptitude testing	1,994,115	1,749,440
Membership Fees	9,000	8,993
Testing Services for Members	9,957	-
Other income	399	-
Investments	2 3,384	4,136
TOTAL INCOME	2,016,855	1,762,569
EXPENDITURE ON		
Charitable activities - clinical aptitude testing	1,990,886	1,911,937
Charitable activities - Governance	4,200	4,404
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	1,995,086	1,916,341
NET EXPENDITURE BEFORE INVESTMENT GAINS/(LOSSES)	21,769	(153,772)
Net gains/(losses) on investment assets	3,100	45,198
NET MOVEMENT IN FUNDS	24,869	(108,574)
RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS:		
<i>Total funds brought forward</i>	477,054	585,628
TOTAL FUNDS CARRIED FORWARD	501,923	477,054

The notes on pages 23 to 26 form part of these financial statements.

BALANCE SHEET

AS AT 31 MARCH 2018

	Note	2018 £	2017 £
CURRENT ASSETS			
Debtors	7	15,302	13,438
Investments	8	286,578	357,351
Cash at bank		262,514	182,811
		564,394	553,600
CREDITORS: amounts falling due within one year			
	9	(62,471)	(76,546)
NET CURRENT ASSETS		501,923	477,054
NET ASSETS		501,923	477,054
CHARITY FUNDS			
Unrestricted funds		501,923	477,054
TOTAL FUNDS		501,923	477,054

The charity's financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the provisions applicable to companies subject to the small companies' regime.

The financial statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Trustees on 23rd November 2018 and signed on their behalf, by:



Mr N Siesage

The notes on pages 23 to 26 form part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2018

	Note	2018 £	2017 £
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net cash used in operating activities	11	5,830	<i>(342,658)</i>
Proceeds from sale of investments		73,873	<i>141,462</i>
Purchase of investments		-	<i>(180,071)</i>
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities		73,873	<i>(38,609)</i>
Change in cash and cash equivalents in the year		79,703	<i>(381,267)</i>
Cash and cash equivalents brought forward		182,811	<i>564,078</i>
Cash and cash equivalents carried forward	12	262,514	<i>182,811</i>

The notes on pages 23 to 26 form part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2018

1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1.1 Basis of preparation of financial statements

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Accounting and Reporting by Charities: Statement of Recommended Practice applicable to charities preparing their accounts in accordance with the Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland (FRS 102) (effective 1 January 2015) (Charities SORP (FRS 102)), the Financial Reporting Standard applicable in the UK and Republic of Ireland (FRS 102) and the Companies Act 2006.

UK CAT Consortium meets the definition of a public benefit entity under FRS 102. Assets and liabilities are initially recognised at historical cost or transaction value unless otherwise stated in the relevant accounting policy.

1.2 Company Status

The charity is a company limited by guarantee. The members of the charity are the medical and dental schools who have chosen to implement the clinical aptitude test. In the event of the charity being wound up, the liability in respect of the guarantee is limited to £1 per member of the charity.

1.3 Income

All income is recognised once the charity has entitlement to the income, it is probable that the income will be received and the amount of income receivable can be measured reliably.

Income tax recoverable in relation to investment income is recognised at the time the investment income is receivable.

Candidate testing fees are recognised in the period in which testing occurs.

1.4 Expenditure

Expenditure is recognised once there is a legal or constructive obligation to transfer economic benefit to a third party, it is probable that a transfer of economic benefits will be required in settlement and the amount of the obligation can be measured reliably. Expenditure is classified by activity.

Support costs are those costs incurred directly in support of expenditure on the objects of the charity. Governance costs are those incurred in connection with administration of the charity and compliance with constitutional and statutory requirements.

1.5 Investments

Investments are a form of financial instrument and are initially recognised at their transaction cost and subsequently measured at fair value at the balance sheet date. Investment gains and losses, whether realised or unrealised, are combined and shown in the heading 'Gains/(losses) on investments' in the statement of financial activities incorporating income and expenditure account.

1.6 Interest receivable

Interest on funds held on deposit is included when receivable and the amount can be measured reliably by the charity; this is normally upon notification of the interest paid or payable by the Bank.

1.7 Debtors

Trade and other debtors are recognised at the settlement amount after any trade discount offered. Prepayments are valued at the amount prepaid net of any trade discounts due.

1.8 Liabilities and provisions

Liabilities are recognised when there is an obligation at the balance sheet date as a result of a past event, it is probable that a transfer of economic benefit will be required in settlement, and the amount of the settlement can be estimated reliably. Liabilities are recognised at the amount that the charity anticipates it will pay to settle the debt or the amount it has received as advanced payments for the goods or services it must provide. Provisions are measured at the best estimate of the amounts required to settle the obligation. Where the effect of the time value of money is material, the provision is based on the present value of those amounts, discounted at the pre-tax discount rate that reflects the risks specific to the liability. The unwinding of the discount is recognised within interest payable and similar charges.

1.9 Fund accounting

General funds are unrestricted funds which are available for use at the discretion of the Trustees in furtherance of the general objectives of the charity and which have not been designated for other purposes.

2 INVESTMENT INCOME

	Unrestricted funds	<i>Total funds</i>
	2018	<i>2017</i>
	£	£
Bank interest receivable	59	95
Other interest receivable	3,325	4,041
	3,384	4,136
Total 2017	4,136	

3 DIRECT COSTS

	Clinical	Total	<i>Total</i>
	aptitude testing	2018	<i>2017</i>
	£	£	£
Testing provider's charges – testing	1,584,639	1,584,639	1,387,443
Testing provider's charges - other	47,313	47,313	94,306
	1,631,952	1,631,952	1,481,749
As at 31 March 2017	1,481,749	1,481,749	

4 SUPPORT COSTS

	Clinical	Total	<i>Total</i>
	aptitude testing	2018	<i>2017</i>
	£	£	£
Office and administration recharges	177,961	177,961	163,697
Data management	40,690	40,690	32,431
Hotels, travel and subsistence	22,878	22,878	13,511
Meetings and hospitality	7,946	7,946	14,807
Premises	28,066	28,066	25,993
Research	31,318	31,318	136,609
Sundry expenses	33,899	33,899	29,057
Legal fees	13,152	13,152	11,085
Insurance	2,863	2,863	2,671
Bank charges	161	161	327
	358,934	358,934	430,188
As at 31 March 2017	430,188	430,188	

5 GOVERNANCE COSTS

	Unrestricted funds 2018 £	<i>Total funds 2017 £</i>
Auditors' remuneration	4,200	4,404

6 STAFF COSTS

The charity has no employees other than the Trustees, who did not receive any remuneration (2017 £NIL).

No employee received remuneration amounting to more than £60,000 in either year.

7 Trustees received reimbursement of expenses amounting to £3,202 in the current year (2017 - 8 trustees, £3,593).

7 DEBTORS

	2018 £	<i>2017 £</i>
Trade debtors	4,399	-
Other debtors	10,903	13,438
	15,302	13,438

8 CURRENT ASSET INVESTMENTS

	2018 £	<i>2017 £</i>
Listed investments	286,578	357,351

Listed investments

The market value of the listed investments at 31 March 2018 was £286,578 (2017 - £357,351).

9 CREDITORS: Amounts falling due within one year

	2018 £	<i>2017 £</i>
Accruals and deferred income	62,471	76,546

10 SUMMARY OF FUNDS**SUMMARY OF FUNDS – CURRENT YEAR**

	Balance at 1 April 2017 £	Income £	Expenditure £	Gains/ (Losses) £	Balance as at 31 March 2018 £
General Funds	477,054	2,016,855	(1,995,086)	3,100	501,923

SUMMARY OF FUNDS – PRIOR YEAR

	<i>Balance at 1 April 2016 £</i>	<i>Income £</i>	<i>Expenditure £</i>	<i>Gains/ (Losses) £</i>	<i>Balance as at 31 March 2017 £</i>
General funds	<i>585,628</i>	<i>1,762,569</i>	<i>(1,916,341)</i>	<i>45,198</i>	<i>477,054</i>

11 RECONCILIATION OF NET MOVEMENT IN FUNDS TO NET CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

	2018	2017
	£	£
Net income/(expenditure) for the year (as per Statement of Financial Activities)	24,869	<i>(108,574)</i>
Adjustment for:		
Increase in market value of investments	(3,100)	<i>(45,198)</i>
Decrease/(increase) in debtors	(1,864)	<i>1,943</i>
(Decrease)/increase in creditors	(14,075)	<i>(190,829)</i>
Net cash used in operating activities	5,830	<i>(342,658)</i>

12 ANALYSIS OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

	2018	2017
	£	£
Cash in hand	262,514	<i>182,811</i>
Total	262,514	<i>182,811</i>

CHARITY TRUSTEES

Mr Nigel Siesage, University of Leicester, Chair
Dr Lyndon Cabot, King's College London
Dr Amanda Hampshire, University of Nottingham (appointed 1 January 2018)
Professor Jennifer Higham, Medical Schools Council
Dr Christine Kay, University of Aberdeen
Dr Angela Kubacki, St George's, University of London
Dr Robert McAndrew, University of Cardiff
Professor Sandra Nicholson, Queen Mary, University of London
Dr Katie Petty Saphon, Medical Schools Council
Professor Kim Piper, Queen Mary, University of London (appointed 1 January 2018)
Dr Fiona Stewart, University of Dundee
Mr Paul Teulon, King's College London
Dr Ruth Valentine, Newcastle University (appointed 1 January 2018)
Dr Gay Fagan, University of Warwick (retired 31 December 2017)
Professor John McLachlan, University of Durham (retired 31 December 2017)

COMPANY SECRETARY

Significant elements of the day to day management of UKCAT are delegated to the Chief Operating Officer and Company Secretary, Rachel Greatrix.

PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS

Bank: Natwest, Nottingham University Branch, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2AG
Solicitors: Browne Jacobson, Mowbray House, Castle Meadow Road, Nottingham NG2 1BJ
Auditors: Lakin Rose Limited, Pioneer House, Vision Park, Cambridge CB24 9NL

CONSORTIUM MEMBERS 2017/18

University of Aberdeen	University of Leicester
Aston University	University of Liverpool
University of Birmingham	University of Manchester
University of Bristol	University of Newcastle
Cardiff University	University of Nottingham
University of Dundee	University of Plymouth
University of East Anglia	Queen Mary, University of London
University of Edinburgh	Queen's University, Belfast
University of Exeter	University of Sheffield
University of Glasgow	University of Southampton
Hull York Medical School	University of St Andrews
Keele University	St George's, University of London
King's College London	University of Warwick